

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/895,217 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TANAKA, NOBUYUKI |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Shefali D. Patel  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2624                 |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** in condition for allowance

 (1) Shefali D. Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Michael Whitham (Reg. No., 32,635).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 August 2006
**Time:** 9:30am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6,16,22,23

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called for a possible amendment to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance. The applicant agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)